

A Prudent Logic of Partial Functions as a Unifying Framework of Many Sorted Logics

Đorđe Marković

KU Leuven, Department of Computer Science, Leuven, Belgium

February 13, 2025

CapeKR 2025, Cape Town, South Africa

- Many Sorted Logic and Partial Functions Logic
- Reduction results
- Order Sorted Logic and Inductive Data Types
- Conclusion

- Many Sorted Logic and Partial Functions Logic
- Reduction results
- Order Sorted Logic and Inductive Data Types
- Conclusion

- Many Sorted Logic and Partial Functions Logic
- Reduction results
- Order Sorted Logic and Inductive Data Types
- Conclusion

- Many Sorted Logic and Partial Functions Logic
- Reduction results
- Order Sorted Logic and Inductive Data Types
- Conclusion

Many Sorted Logic and Partial Functions Logic

Many Sorted Logic and Partial Functions Logic

Many Sorted Logic – FO(TY):

- Reasoning about different sorts of objects.
- E.g., Mortality applies only to living beings.
- Hierarchy of sorts (E.g., Animals :> (Cats, Dogs, ...)).
- Syntactical decidability of well-typed formulae.

Partial Functions Logic – FO(PF):

- E.g., Subtraction in Natural numbers (i.e., $5 - 10$).
- E.g., Division in Natural and Real numbers (i.e., $5/0$).
- E.g., The present king of France is bald.
- E.g., Next element of a list.

Many Sorted Logic and Partial Functions Logic

Many Sorted Logic – FO(TY):

- Reasoning about different sorts of objects.
- E.g., Mortality applies only to living beings.
- Hierarchy of sorts (E.g., Animals $:>$ (Cats, Dogs, ...)).
- Syntactical decidability of well-typed formulae.

Partial Functions Logic – FO(PF):

- E.g., Subtraction in Natural numbers (i.e., $5 - 10$).
- E.g., Division in Natural and Real numbers (i.e., $5/0$).
- E.g., The present king of France is bald.
- E.g., Next element of a list.

Many Sorted Logic and Partial Functions Logic

Many Sorted Logic – FO(TY):

- Reasoning about different sorts of objects.
- E.g., Mortality applies only to living beings.
- Hierarchy of sorts (E.g., Animals $:>$ (Cats, Dogs, ...)).
- Syntactical decidability of well-typed formulae.

Partial Functions Logic – FO(PF):

- E.g., Subtraction in Natural numbers (i.e., $5 - 10$).
- E.g., Division in Natural and Real numbers (i.e., $5/0$).
- E.g., The present king of France is bald.
- E.g., Next element of a list.

Many Sorted Logic and Partial Functions Logic

Many Sorted Logic – FO(TY):

- Reasoning about different sorts of objects.
- E.g., Mortality applies only to living beings.
- Hierarchy of sorts (E.g., Animals $:>$ (Cats, Dogs, ...)).
- Syntactical decidability of well-typed formulae.

Partial Functions Logic – FO(PF):

- E.g., Subtraction in Natural numbers (i.e., $5 - 10$).
- E.g., Division in Natural and Real numbers (i.e., $5/0$).
- E.g., The present king of France is bald.
- E.g., Next element of a list.

On denoting. Bertrand Russell. *Mind*, 1905

Many Sorted Logic and Partial Functions Logic

Many Sorted Logic – FO(TY):

- Reasoning about different sorts of objects.
- E.g., Mortality applies only to living beings.
- Hierarchy of sorts (E.g., Animals $:>$ (Cats, Dogs, ...)).
- Syntactical decidability of well-typed formulae.

Partial Functions Logic – FO(PF):

- E.g., Subtraction in Natural numbers (i.e., $5 - 10$).
- E.g., Division in Natural and Real numbers (i.e., $5/0$).
- E.g., The present king of France is bald.
- E.g., Next element of a list.

Many Sorted Logic and Partial Functions Logic

Many Sorted Logic – FO(TY):

- Reasoning about different sorts of objects.
- E.g., Mortality applies only to living beings.
- Hierarchy of sorts (E.g., Animals $:>$ (Cats, Dogs, ...)).
- Syntactical decidability of well-typed formulae.

Partial Functions Logic – FO(PF):

- E.g., Subtraction in Natural numbers (i.e., $5 - 10$).
- E.g., Division in Natural and Real numbers (i.e., $5/0$).
- E.g., The present king of France is bald.
- E.g., Next element of a list.

Many Sorted Logic and Partial Functions Logic

Many Sorted Logic – FO(TY):

- Reasoning about different sorts of objects.
- E.g., Mortality applies only to living beings.
- Hierarchy of sorts (E.g., Animals $:>$ (Cats, Dogs, ...)).
- Syntactical decidability of well-typed formulae.

Partial Functions Logic – FO(PF):

- E.g., Subtraction in Natural numbers (i.e., $5 - 10$).
- E.g., Division in Natural and Real numbers (i.e., $5/0$).
- E.g., The present king of France is bald.
- E.g., Next element of a list.

Many Sorted Logic and Partial Functions Logic

Many Sorted Logic – FO(TY):

- Reasoning about different sorts of objects.
- E.g., Mortality applies only to living beings.
- Hierarchy of sorts (E.g., Animals $:>$ (Cats, Dogs, ...)).
- Syntactical decidability of well-typed formulae.

Partial Functions Logic – FO(PF):

- E.g., Subtraction in Natural numbers (i.e., $5 - 10$).
- E.g., Division in Natural and Real numbers (i.e., $5/0$).
- E.g., The present king of France is bald.
- E.g., Next element of a list.

On denoting. Bertrand Russell. Mind, 1905

Many Sorted Logic and Partial Functions Logic

Many Sorted Logic – FO(TY):

- Reasoning about different sorts of objects.
- E.g., Mortality applies only to living beings.
- Hierarchy of sorts (E.g., Animals $:>$ (Cats, Dogs, ...)).
- Syntactical decidability of well-typed formulae.

Partial Functions Logic – FO(PF):

- E.g., Subtraction in Natural numbers (i.e., $5 - 10$).
- E.g., Division in Natural and Real numbers (i.e., $5/0$).
- E.g., The present king of France is bald.
- E.g., Next element of a list.

FO(TY):

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &:= x \\ &:= f(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &:= p(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \\ &:= \neg \phi_1 \\ &:= \phi_1 \vee \phi_2 \\ &:= \exists x[\mathbb{T}] : \phi_1\end{aligned}$$

FO(PF):

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &:= x \\ &:= f(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &:= p(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \\ &:= \neg \phi_1 \\ &:= \phi_1 \vee \phi_2 \\ &:= \exists x : \phi_1 \\ &:= \text{if } \phi_1 \text{ then } \phi_2 \text{ else } \phi_3 \text{ fi}\end{aligned}$$

FO(TY) & FO(PF) – Syntax

FO(TY):

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &:= x \\ &:= f(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &:= p(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \\ &:= \neg \phi_1 \\ &:= \phi_1 \vee \phi_2 \\ &:= \exists x[\mathbb{T}] : \phi_1\end{aligned}$$

FO(PF):

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &:= x \\ &:= f(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &:= p(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \\ &:= \neg \phi_1 \\ &:= \phi_1 \vee \phi_2 \\ &:= \exists x : \phi_1 \\ &:= \text{if } \phi_1 \text{ then } \phi_2 \text{ else } \phi_3 \text{ fi}\end{aligned}$$

FO(TY):

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &:= x \\ &:= f(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &:= p(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \\ &:= \neg \phi_1 \\ &:= \phi_1 \vee \phi_2 \\ &:= \exists x[\mathbb{T}] : \phi_1\end{aligned}$$

FO(PF):

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &:= x \\ &:= f(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &:= p(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \\ &:= \neg \phi_1 \\ &:= \phi_1 \vee \phi_2 \\ &:= \exists x : \phi_1 \\ &:= \text{if } \phi_1 \text{ then } \phi_2 \text{ else } \phi_3 \text{ fi}\end{aligned}$$

FO(TY) & FO(PF) – Syntax

FO(TY):

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &:= x \\ &:= f(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &:= p(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \\ &:= \neg \phi_1 \\ &:= \phi_1 \vee \phi_2 \\ &:= \exists x[\mathbb{T}] : \phi_1\end{aligned}$$

FO(PF):

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &:= x \\ &:= f(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi &:= p(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \\ &:= \neg \phi_1 \\ &:= \phi_1 \vee \phi_2 \\ &:= \exists x : \phi_1 \\ &:= \text{if } \phi_1 \text{ then } \phi_2 \text{ else } \phi_3 \text{ fi}\end{aligned}$$

FO(TY) Well typed formulae

- Typical for sorted logic is **type checking** inference (is formula well-typed or not).
- What it means for a formula to be **well typed**?
- Types of terms are **matching** the type of argument where they are applied.
- This **eliminates** formulae that are trivially tautologies or contradictions.
- Helps KB engineers in reducing logical **errors**.

FO(TY) Well typed formulae

- Typical for sorted logic is **type checking** inference (is formula well-typed or not).
- What it means for a formula to be **well typed**?
- Types of terms are **matching** the type of argument where they are applied.
- This **eliminates** formulae that are trivially tautologies or contradictions.
- Helps KB engineers in reducing logical **errors**.

FO(TY) Well typed formulae

- Typical for sorted logic is **type checking** inference (is formula well-typed or not).
- What it means for a formula to be **well typed**?
- Types of terms are **matching** the type of argument where they are applied.
- This **eliminates** formulae that are trivially tautologies or contradictions.
- Helps KB engineers in reducing logical **errors**.

FO(TY) Well typed formulae

- Typical for sorted logic is **type checking** inference (is formula well-typed or not).
- What it means for a formula to be **well typed**?
- Types of terms are **matching** the type of argument where they are applied.
- This **eliminates** formulae that are trivially tautologies or contradictions.
- Helps KB engineers in reducing logical **errors**.

FO(TY) Well typed formulae

- Typical for sorted logic is **type checking** inference (is formula well-typed or not).
- What it means for a formula to be **well typed**?
- Types of terms are **matching** the type of argument where they are applied.
- This **eliminates** formulae that are trivially tautologies or contradictions.
- Helps KB engineers in reducing logical **errors**.

FO(TY) Well typed formulae

Vocabulary:

type Cat

type Dog

type Human

type Rock

Garfield : () \rightarrow Cat

Meows : Cat $\rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

Barks : Dog $\rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

Mortal : Human $\rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

Is formula well typed:

Meows(Garfield)

Barks(Garfield)

$\forall r[\text{Rock}] : \text{Mortal}(r)$

$\forall h[\text{Human}] : \text{Mortal}(h)$

FO(TY) Well typed formulae

$$\frac{}{\omega \vdash \mathbf{t} : \mathbb{B}} (T\text{-tr}) \quad \frac{}{\omega \vdash \mathbf{f} : \mathbb{B}} (T\text{-fa}) \quad \frac{\omega \vdash \phi : \mathbb{B}}{\omega \vdash \neg \phi : \mathbb{B}} (T\text{-neg})$$

$$\frac{\omega \vdash \phi : \mathbb{B} \quad \omega \vdash \varphi : \mathbb{B}}{\omega \vdash (\phi \vee \varphi) : \mathbb{B}} (T\text{-or}) \quad \frac{\omega \cup \{x : \mathbb{T}\} \vdash \phi : \mathbb{B}}{\omega \vdash (\exists x[\mathbb{T}] : \phi) : \mathbb{B}} (T\text{-ex})$$

$$\frac{x : \mathbb{T} \in \omega}{\omega \vdash x : \mathbb{T}} (T\text{-v}) \quad \frac{s_{\Sigma}(\sigma) = (\mathbb{T}_1, \dots, \mathbb{T}_n, \mathbb{T}) \quad \omega \vdash t_i : \mathbb{T}_i}{\omega \vdash \sigma(t_1, \dots, t_n) : \mathbb{T}} (T\text{-a})$$

FO(TY) Well typed formulae

Vocabulary:

type Cat

type Dog

type Human

type Rock

Garfield : $() \rightarrow \text{Cat}$

Meows : $\text{Cat} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

Barks : $\text{Dog} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

Mortal : $\text{Human} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

Well typed formula:

Meows(*Garfield*)

$\forall h[\text{Human}] : \text{Mortal}(h)$

Ill typed formula:

Barks(*Garfield*)

$\forall r[\text{Rock}] : \text{Mortal}(r)$

FO(PF) Well guarded formulae

- Typical for partial functions logic is **well definedness checking** inference (does formula evaluate to true or false in all structures).
- However, this is in general **undecidable**!
- We introduce notion of **well guarded** formula.
- Informally, formula is well guarded if all partial function terms are **constrained with their domain**.
- Well guarded formulae are well defined!

A practical approach to partial functions in CVC lite. Berezin, S., Barrett, C., Shikanian, I., Chechik, M., Gurfinkel, A., Dill, D.L. Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science, 2005

Towards Systematic Treatment of Partial Functions in Knowledge Representation. Djordje Markovic, Maurice Bruynooghe, Marc Denecker. Logics in Artificial Intelligence JELIA 2023

FO(PF) Well guarded formulae

- Typical for partial functions logic is **well definedness checking** inference (does formula evaluate to true or false in all structures).
- However, this is in general **undecidable**!
- We introduce notion of **well guarded** formula.
- Informally, formula is well guarded if all partial function terms are **constrained with their domain**.
- Well guarded formulae are well defined!

A practical approach to partial functions in CVC lite. Berezin, S., Barrett, C., Shikanian, I., Chechik, M., Gurfinkel, A., Dill, D.L. Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science, 2005

Towards Systematic Treatment of Partial Functions in Knowledge Representation. Djordje Markovic, Maurice Bruynooghe, Marc Denecker. Logics in Artificial Intelligence JELIA 2023

FO(PF) Well guarded formulae

- Typical for partial functions logic is **well definedness checking** inference (does formula evaluate to true or false in all structures).
- However, this is in general **undecidable**!
- We introduce notion of **well guarded** formula.
- Informally, formula is well guarded if all partial function terms are **constrained with their domain**.
- Well guarded formulae are well defined!

A practical approach to partial functions in CVC lite. Berezin, S., Barrett, C., Shikanian, I., Chechik, M., Gurfinkel, A., Dill, D.L. Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science, 2005

Towards Systematic Treatment of Partial Functions in Knowledge Representation. Djordje Markovic, Maurice Bruynooghe, Marc Denecker. Logics in Artificial Intelligence JELIA 2023

FO(PF) Well guarded formulae

- Typical for partial functions logic is **well definedness checking** inference (does formula evaluate to true or false in all structures).
- However, this is in general **undecidable**!
- We introduce notion of **well guarded** formula.
- Informally, formula is well guarded if all partial function terms are **constrained with their domain**.
- Well guarded formulae are well defined!

A practical approach to partial functions in CVC lite. Berezin, S., Barrett, C., Shikanian, I., Chechik, M., Gurfinkel, A., Dill, D.L. Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science, 2005

Towards Systematic Treatment of Partial Functions in Knowledge Representation. Djordje Markovic, Maurice Bruynooghe, Marc Denecker. Logics in Artificial Intelligence JELIA 2023

FO(PF) Well guarded formulae

- Typical for partial functions logic is **well definedness checking** inference (does formula evaluate to true or false in all structures).
- However, this is in general **undecidable**!
- We introduce notion of **well guarded** formula.
- Informally, formula is well guarded if all partial function terms are **constrained with their domain**.
- Well guarded formulae are well defined!

A practical approach to partial functions in CVC lite. Berezin, S., Barrett, C., Shikanian, I., Chechik, M., Gurfinkel, A., Dill, D.L. Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science, 2005

Towards Systematic Treatment of Partial Functions in Knowledge Representation. Djordje Markovic, Maurice Bruynooghe, Marc Denecker. Logics in Artificial Intelligence JELIA 2023

FO(PF) Well guarded formulae

Is formula well guarded:

Vocabulary:

$Doctor : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

$Mother : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$

$MyPartner : () \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$

$\delta_{Mother} : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

$\delta_{MyPartner} : () \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

$Doctor(Mother(MyPartner))$

if $\delta_{MyPartner}()$ **then** $Doctor(Mother(MyPartner))$ **else f fi**

if $\delta_{MyPartner}()$ **then**

if $\delta_{Mother}(MyPartner)$ **then**

$Doctor(Mother(MyPartner))$

else f fi

else f fi

FO(PF) Well guarded formulae

$$\frac{}{\gamma \Vdash \mathbf{t}} (G\text{-tr}) \quad \frac{}{\gamma \Vdash \mathbf{f}} (G\text{-fa}) \quad \frac{}{\gamma \Vdash x} (G\text{-v}) \quad \frac{\gamma \Vdash \phi}{\gamma \Vdash \neg \phi} (G\text{-neg})$$

$$\frac{\gamma \Vdash \phi \quad \gamma \Vdash \varphi}{\gamma \Vdash (\phi \vee \varphi)} (G\text{-or}) \quad \frac{\gamma \Vdash \phi}{\gamma \Vdash (\exists x : \phi)} (G\text{-ex}) \quad \frac{\delta_\sigma(\bar{t}) \in \gamma \quad \gamma \Vdash \bar{t}}{\gamma \Vdash \sigma(\bar{t})} (G\text{-a})$$

$$\frac{\gamma \Vdash p_1(\bar{t}_1) \dots \gamma \Vdash p_n(\bar{t}_n) \quad \gamma \Vdash \phi \quad \omega \cup \{p_1(\bar{t}_1), \dots, p_n(\bar{t}_n)\} \Vdash \psi \quad \gamma \Vdash \chi}{\gamma \Vdash \mathbf{if } p_1(\bar{t}_1) \wedge \dots \wedge p_n(\bar{t}_n) \wedge \phi \mathbf{ then } \psi \mathbf{ else } \chi \mathbf{ fi}} (G\text{-g})$$

FO(PF) Well guarded formulae

Unguarded formulae:

$Doctor(Mother(MyPartner))$

Vocabulary:

$Doctor : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

$Mother : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$

$MyPartner : () \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$

$\delta_{Mother} : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

$\delta_{MyPartner} : () \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

if $\delta_{MyPartner}()$ **then** $Doctor(Mother(MyPartner))$ **else f fi**

Well guarded formula:

if $\delta_{MyPartner}()$ **then**

if $\delta_{Mother}(MyPartner)$ **then**

$Doctor(Mother(MyPartner))$

else f fi

else f fi

FO(PF) Well guarded formulae

Vocabulary:

$Doctor : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

$Mother : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$

$MyPartner : () \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$

$\delta_{Mother} : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

$\delta_{MyPartner} : () \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

Is this theory well guarded:

$\delta_{MyPartner}()$

$\delta_{Mother}(MyPartner)$

$Doctor(Mother(MyPartner))$

FO(PF) Well guarded formulae

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\delta_\sigma(\bar{t}) \in \gamma, \bar{\mathcal{T}} \quad \gamma, \bar{\mathcal{T}} \Vdash \bar{t}}{\gamma, \bar{\mathcal{T}} \Vdash \sigma(\bar{t})} \text{ (G-a')} \quad \frac{\forall \bar{x} : p(\bar{r}) \wedge \phi \in \bar{\mathcal{T}}}{p(\bar{r}[\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{u}]) \in \gamma, \bar{\mathcal{T}}} \text{ (G-c)} \quad \frac{p(\bar{r}) \in \gamma}{p(\bar{r}) \in \gamma, \bar{\mathcal{T}}} \text{ (G-c')} \\[2ex] \frac{\forall \bar{x} : p_1(\bar{s}_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge p_m(\bar{s}_m) \Rightarrow p(\bar{r}) \wedge \phi \in \bar{\mathcal{T}} \quad p_i(\bar{s}_i)[\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{u}] \in \gamma, \bar{\mathcal{T}}}{p(\bar{r}[\bar{x} \rightarrow \bar{u}]) \in \gamma, \bar{\mathcal{T}}} \text{ (G-i)} \end{array}$$

FO(PF) Well guarded formulae

Vocabulary:

$Doctor : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

$Mother : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$

$MyPartner : () \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$

$\delta_{Mother} : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

$\delta_{MyPartner} : () \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

This theory is well guarded:

$\delta_{MyPartner}()$

$\delta_{Mother}(MyPartner)$

$Doctor(Mother(MyPartner))$

- ~~Many Sorted Logic and Partial Functions Logic~~
- Reduction results
- Order Sorted Logic and Inductive Data Types
- Conclusion

FO(TY) to FO(PF) Reduction results

FO(TY) to FO(PF) Reduction Results

- Can we define **translation** of FO(TY) to FO(PF) such that:
- FO(TY) theory is **well typed** iff its transition is **well guarded**?
- We can **recover models** of FO(TY) theory from the models of its translation to FO(PF)?
- The answer is **yes**!

FO(TY) to FO(PF) Reduction Results

- Can we define **translation** of FO(TY) to FO(PF) such that:
- FO(TY) theory is **well typed** iff its transition is **well guarded**?
- We can **recover models** of FO(TY) theory from the models of its translation to FO(PF)?
- The answer is **yes**!

FO(TY) to FO(PF) Reduction Results

- Can we define **translation** of FO(TY) to FO(PF) such that:
- FO(TY) theory is **well typed** iff its transition is **well guarded**?
- We can **recover models** of FO(TY) theory from the models of its translation to FO(PF)?
- The answer is **yes**!

FO(TY) to FO(PF) Reduction Results

- Can we define **translation** of FO(TY) to FO(PF) such that:
- FO(TY) theory is **well typed** iff its transition is **well guarded**?
- We can **recover models** of FO(TY) theory from the models of its translation to FO(PF)?
- The answer is **yes**!

FO(TY) to FO(PF) Reduction Results

FO(TY):

type Cat

Garfield : () \rightarrow *Cat*

Meows : *Cat* \rightarrow \mathbb{B}

Meows(*Garfield*)

$\exists c[\textit{Cat}] : \textit{Meows}(c)$

FO(PF):

Cat : $\mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ $\{\forall x : \delta_{\textit{Cat}}(x) \quad \exists x : \textit{Cat}(x)\}$

Garfield : () $\rightarrow \mathbb{U}$ $\{\delta_{\textit{Garfield}}() \quad \delta_{\textit{Garfield}}() \Rightarrow \textit{Cat}(\textit{Garfield})\}$

Meows : $\mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ $\{\forall x : \delta_{\textit{Meows}}(x) \Leftrightarrow \textit{Cat}(x)\}$

$\{\textit{Meows}(\textit{Garfield})\}$

$\{\exists c : \textbf{if } \textit{Cat}(c) \textbf{ then } \textit{Meows}(c) \textbf{ else f fi}\}$

- ~~Many Sorted Logic and Partial Functions Logic~~
- ~~Reduction results~~
- Order Sorted Logic and Inductive Data Types
- Conclusion

Order Sorted Logic and Inductive Data Types

Order Sorted Logic and Inductive Data Types

- Can we achieve the same results for **Order Sorted Logic** as for $\text{FO}(\text{TY})$?
- **What is Sorted Logic** that corresponds to the full generality of $\text{FO}(\text{PF})$?
- $\text{FO}(\text{PF})$ also supports **inductive definitions**, can we do more with them?

Order Sorted Logic and Inductive Data Types

- Can we achieve the same results for **Order Sorted Logic** as for $\text{FO}(\text{TY})$?
- **What is Sorted Logic** that corresponds to the full generality of $\text{FO}(\text{PF})$?
- $\text{FO}(\text{PF})$ also supports **inductive definitions**, can we do more with them?

Order Sorted Logic and Inductive Data Types

- Can we achieve the same results for **Order Sorted Logic** as for $\text{FO}(\text{TY})$?
- **What is Sorted Logic** that corresponds to the full generality of $\text{FO}(\text{PF})$?
- $\text{FO}(\text{PF})$ also supports **inductive definitions**, can we do more with them?

Order Sorted Logic

Vocabulary:

type Animal

type Cat <: Animal

type Dog <: Animal

Meows : Cat $\rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

Barks : Dog $\rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

Mortal : Animal $\rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

Is formula well typed:

$\exists c[\text{Cat}] : \text{Meows}(c)$

$\exists d[\text{Dog}] : \text{Barks}(c)$

$\forall d[\text{Dog}] : \text{Mortal}(d)$

Beyond Order Sorted Logic

Vocabulary:

type Animal

type Cat <: Animal

type Dog <: Animal

Meows : Cat $\rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

Barks : Dog $\rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

Is formula well typed:

$\exists a[Animal] :$

if Cat(*a*) then Meows(*a*) else

if Dog(*a*) then

Barks(a)

else f fi

fi

Inductive Data Types

Vocabulary:

type Nat where

$z : \text{Nat}$

$s : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}$

In FO(PF):

$z : () \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$

$s : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$

$\text{Nat} : \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Nat}(z). \\ \forall x : \text{Nat}(s(x)) \leftarrow \text{Nat}(x). \end{array} \right\}$$

$\delta_z()$

$\forall x : \delta_s(x) \Leftrightarrow \text{Nat}(x)$

$\text{UNA}(z, s)$

- ~~Many Sorted Logic and Partial Functions Logic~~
- ~~Reduction results~~
- ~~Order Sorted Logic and Inductive Data Types~~
- Conclusion

Conclusion

Conclusion

- Partial Function Logic can serve as an **underlying framework** for many Sorted logics.
- Well guardedness relation provides more **general well typing** relation.
- Can inductive definitions provide support for **inductive data types** for Sorted logics?

Conclusion

- Partial Function Logic can serve as an **underlying framework** for many Sorted logics.
- Well guardedness relation provides more **general well typing** relation.
- Can inductive definitions provide support for **inductive data types** for Sorted logics?

Conclusion

- Partial Function Logic can serve as an **underlying framework** for many Sorted logics.
- Well guardedness relation provides more **general well typing** relation.
- Can inductive definitions provide support for **inductive data types** for Sorted logics?

 Thank you for your attention

Typed relations/functions can be thought of as partial relations/functions on the universe, where well guarded relation generalizes the idea of well typed relation.



Đorđe Marković

markovic@djordje.rs

<https://djordje.rs/>